



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,902	08/19/2003	Daniel Mark Abraham		3195
LISA VELEZ P.O.BOX 29 SLIDELL, LA 70459				
7590 03/27/2009				
EXAMINER				
HENRY, RODNEY M				
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
3622				
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE				
03/27/2009 PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/642,902

**Applicant(s)**

ABRAHAM, DANIEL MARK

**Examiner**

RODNEY M. HENRY

**Art Unit**

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a Non-Final Office Action on the merits. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the remarks dated February 2, 2009 from the Applicant. Claims 3, 4, 7, 9, 11-18, and 20-22 were amended. Therefore, Claims 3-22 are currently pending and have been considered below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 3-12 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 3-22, as best understood, it appears that the claimed method steps or process are not statutory. Based on Supreme Court precedent<sup>1</sup> and Federal Circuit decisions a §101 process must

(1) be new and usefully tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or

(2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing.<sup>2</sup>

The independent claims are directed towards steps of “displaying”, “receiving”, “identifying”, “storing”, “generating”, “tracking”, and “repeating”. Since the claims are

---

<sup>1</sup> *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1977); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

directed to a process without being new and usefully tied to another statutory class of invention (manufacture, machine, composition of matter), these claims are non-statutory. Additionally, the website is not interpreted to be a machine or apparatus.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

**4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:**

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**5. Claims 3, 9-12, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costin IV et al. (2002/0049816), in view of Paul et al. (US 7,240,843).**

***As per claim 3:***

Costin IV et al. discloses an electronic process which allows printable coupons to be provided online through a Website for fundraising by a Cause, said Cause being one of a non-profit organization, a charity organization, or a school, the process comprising the steps of:

---

<sup>2</sup> The Supreme Court recognized that this test is not necessarily fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advance. *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972).

displaying by the website to a Supporter, incentives for consumer goods of Sponsors identified for a selected Cause (see FIG. 7);

receiving a selection from said supporter, at the Website, to print a selected coupon (see FIG. 7 for the incentives and the print icon for printing the coupon (savings) associated with the user's account);

storing the identified selected Cause and information related to said printed coupon in a database (see paragraph [0064] and FIG. 1A);

generating a revenue share shared with the selected Cause and the Website, after redemption of said printed coupon wherein that portion of the revenue share generated for the Cause is fundraising revenue (see paragraph [0082] via donation or fee as the sponsor hosts a fundraising campaign for a charity).

Costin IV et al. does not disclose wherein a printed coupon is printed with a household ID number; identifying by the Website the selected Cause via the household ID number associated with said printed coupon.

However, Paul et al. discloses wherein a printed coupon is printed with a household ID number; identifying by the Website the selected Cause via the household ID number associated with said printed coupon (see col 4 line 9 and FIG. 1 for customer ID and optional extension codes such as Cause in the barcode).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to add customer ID to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to enhance the tracking system associated with the fundraising activity.

***As per claims 9, 18:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose maintaining in the database information and reporting regarding all departments, incentives or remuneration, links, Supporter accounts and redemption information on the printed coupons.

However Paul et al. discloses maintaining in a database information and reporting regarding all departments, incentives or remuneration, links, Supporter accounts and redemption information on the printed coupons (See col 8, lines 40-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add maintaining in the database information and reporting regarding all departments, incentives or remuneration, links, Supporter accounts and redemption information on the printed coupons to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to promote proper tracking of coupons and the fundraising system.

***As per claims 10, 19:***

Paul et al. discloses that the household ID number is part of a UCC/EAN extended 128 barcode (see col 4, lines 5-10).

***As per claims 11, 20:***

Costin IV et al. discloses the printed coupon includes zip code (See page 3, paragraph [0037], which discusses the regional information entered by the participant is a postal ZIP code, and the software first processes the ZIP code to determine a geographical region and then processes the geographical region information to determine which coupons are available),

Costin IV et al. does not disclose:  
printed coupon includes UCC/EAN extended 128 barcode;  
information related to a Sponsor's brand name; an item name; a value of the coupon;  
disclaimers and modifiers for the coupon; and product images

Paul discloses printed coupon includes UCC/EAN extended 128 barcode;  
information related to a Sponsor's brand name; an item name; a value of the coupon;  
disclaimers and modifiers for the coupon; and product images (See FIG. 1 and col 4,  
lines 5-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add UCC/EAN extended 128 barcode to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to promote high density barcode usage.

***As per claims 12, 22:***

Costin IV et al. discloses receiving from a Sponsor redemption revenue reimbursement for the Website (see paragraph [0082] via donation or fee as the sponsor hosts a fundraising campaign for a charity).

**6. Claims 4-6, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costin IV et al. (2002/0049816), in view of Messer et al. (US 2004/0230491).**

***As per claim 4:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose storing into a database the household ID number of the printed coupon; and identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon.

However Messer et al. discloses storing into the database the household ID number of the printed coupon; and identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon (see paragraph [0025] for identification of the user (supporter), and tracking (identifying and analysis) and paragraph [0042] for the database).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to add storing into the database the household ID number of the printed coupon; and identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to enhance the tracking system associated with the fundraising activity.

***As per claims 5, 15:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose tracking redemption activity of said printed coupon via household ID number with respect to the Supporter, the selected Cause and



the Sponsor wherein the step of generating the revenue share is in response to the redemption activity tracking.

However, Messer discloses tracking redemption activity of said printed coupon via household ID number with respect to the Supporter, the selected Cause and the Sponsor wherein the step of generating the revenue share is in response to the redemption activity tracking (see paragraph [0025]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was add tracking redemption activity of said printed coupon via household ID number with respect to the Supporter, the selected Cause and the Sponsor wherein the step of generating the revenue share is in response to the redemption activity tracking to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to compensate the charitable organizations.

***As per claims 6, 16:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose prior to the generating step, redeeming the printed coupon.

However, Messer discloses prior to the generating step, redeeming the printed coupon (see paragraph [0025]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add prior to the generating step, redeeming the printed coupon to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to ensure the participant makes the transaction first.

***As per claim 13:***

Costin IV et al. discloses an electronic process which allows printable coupons to be provided online through a Website for fundraising by Causes, each Cause being one of a non-profit organization, a charity organization, or a school, the process comprising the steps of:

(a) displaying online by the Website, to a Supporter, incentives for consumer goods of Sponsors identified for a selected Cause (see FIG. 7 for the incentives and the print icon for printing the coupon (savings) associated with the user's account);

(b) receiving a selection from said supporter, at the Website, to print a selected printable coupon (see FIG. 7 for the incentives and the print icon for printing the coupon (savings) associated with the user's account);

(c) storing the selected Cause and information related to said printed coupon in a database (see paragraph [0064] and FIG. 1A)

(e) in response to step (d), generating a revenue share shared with the selected Cause and the Website, wherein that portion of the revenue share generated for the selected Cause is fundraising revenue (see paragraph [0082] via donation or fee as the sponsor hosts a fundraising campaign for a charity).

(f) repeating steps (a) – (d) for a plurality of Supporters (See FIG. 1 FIG. 2 and discussions on a-d).

Costin IV et al. does not explicitly disclose

(d) tracking redemption activity of the printed coupon to identify the selected Cause via the household ID number

However, Messer discloses tracking redemption activity of the printed coupon to identify the selected Cause via the household ID number; coupon with an indigineous tracking code having a household ID number; identifying by the Website the selected Cause via the household ID number associated with said printed coupon (See paragraph [0025]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to add tracking redemption activity of the printed coupon and coupon with an indigineous tracking code having a household ID number; identifying by the Website the selected Cause via the household ID number associated with said printed coupon to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to fund the charitable organizations.

Paul et al. further discloses (see col 4 line 9 and FIG. 1 for customer ID and optional extension codes such as Cause in the barcode).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to add customer ID to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to enhance the tracking system associated with the fundraising activity

***As per claim 14:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose

(g) storing into a database the household ID number of said indigineous tracking code of the printed coupon; and

(h) identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon.

However Messer et al. discloses logging into a database the household ID number of the printed coupon; and identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon (see paragraph [0025] for identification of the user (supporter), and tracking (identifying and analysis) and paragraph [0042] for the database).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to add logging into a database the household ID number of the printed coupon; and identifying in the database the Supporter, the selected Cause and a Sponsor of the printed coupon to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to enhance the tracking system associated with the fundraising activity

**7. Claims 7, 8, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costin IV et al. (2002/0049816), in view of Messer (US 2004/0230491), and DeLapa et al. (5,822,735), and Narayan et al. (2002/0138348), and further in view of Humble (US 4,949,256).**

***As per claim 7:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose the redeeming step includes:  
processing the coupon by a redemption facility wherein said redemption facility process includes:

receiving from a retailer the redeemed coupon;  
identifying the Sponsor associated the redeemed coupon; and  
generating duplicate redemption files, wherein a first redemption file is for the Sponsor and a second redemption file is for the Website.

Narayan et al. teaches an electronic coupon system having means for:  
processing the coupon by a redemption facility (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the redemption facility being a retail merchant) wherein said redemption facility process includes:

receiving from a retailer the redeemed coupon (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the retailer redeeming the coupon and presenting it to the manufacturer to collect its charges for handling the transaction);

identifying the Sponsor associated the redeemed coupon (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the first issuer of the coupon as the ultimate redeemer of the coupon).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add redeeming steps to the system of Costin IV et al., in order to compensate the Website and other retailers.

Humble further discloses duplicate redemption files, wherein a first redemption file is for the Sponsor and a second redemption file is for the Website (See the Abstract, which discusses a first file for all redeemable encoded coupons issued by all manufacturers (Sponsor) participating in the network, and a second file for all coupons redeemed by each retailer (Website) participating in the network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add duplicate redemption files to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to provide accurate records for compensating the Website and for keeping the Sponsors informed of product sales via coupon usage.

***As per claim 8:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose sending redemption reimbursement from the Sponsor for the redemption facility.

However De Lapa et al discloses sending redemption reimbursement from the Sponsor for the redemption facility (See col 5, lines 30-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add redemption reimbursement from the Sponsor for the redemption facility to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to reimburse the retailer more rapidly.

***As per claim 17:***

Costin IV et al. does not disclose the redeeming step includes: processing the coupon by a redemption facility wherein said redemption facility process includes:

- (i) receiving from a retailer the redeemed coupon;
- (ii) identifying the Sponsor associated the redeemed coupon; and
- (iii) generating duplicate redemption files, wherein a first redemption file is for the Sponsor and a second redemption file is for the Website.

Narayan et al. teaches an electronic coupon system having means for: processing the coupon by a redemption facility (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the redemption facility being a retail merchant) wherein said redemption facility process includes:

(i) receiving from a retailer the redeemed coupon (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the retailer redeeming the coupon and presenting it to the manufacturer to collect its charges for handling the transaction);

(ii) identifying the Sponsor associated the redeemed coupon (See page 5, paragraph [0137], which discusses the first issuer of the coupon as the ultimate redeemer of the coupon).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add redeeming steps to the system of Costin IV et al., in order to compensate the Website and other retailers.

(iii) generating duplicate redemption files, wherein a first redemption file is for the Sponsor and a second redemption file is for the Website.

Humble further discloses generating duplicate redemption files, wherein a first redemption file is for the Sponsor and a second redemption file is for the Website (See the Abstract, which discusses a first file for all redeemable encoded coupons issued by all manufacturers (Sponsor) participating in the network, and a second file for all coupons redeemed by each retailer (Website) participating in the network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add duplicate redemption files to the system of Costin IV et al. in order to provide accurate records for compensating the Website and for keeping the Sponsors informed of product sales via coupon usage.

***As per claim 21:***

Costin IV et al. discloses the step of (f) repeating steps (a) – (f) for said Causes (See page 1, paragraph [0007], which discusses the appeal of the invention to a plurality of schools).

Costin IV et al. does not disclose (b) printing a coupon with an indigenous tracking code of a selected incentive, selected by said Supporter.

De Lapa et al. discloses an indigenous tracking code of a selected incentive (See column 3, lines 41-43, which discusses coupons are printed bearing a machine readable code including a coupon identification number and a user number).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an indigenous tracking code of a selected incentive to the system of Costin IV et al., in order to reduce or track fraudulent usage of coupons.

***Response to Arguments***

8. The applicant's arguments are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection above, minor additions (clarifications) and deletions based on the amendments. The Examiner has reviewed the Applicant's arguments and found them unpersuasive, for example in Claim 3, FIG 7. clearly shows the coupon icon for the customer to click on, hence providing and paragraph [0082] discusses donation or fee as the sponsor hosts a fundraising campaign for a charity, hence revenue sharing.

***Conclusion***



9. Applicant's amendments necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Henry whose telephone number is 571-270-5102. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30am to 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached 570-272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-6102.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RMH

/Arthur Duran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622